

### REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed June 23, 2008, applicants provisionally elect Invention 1 (claims 1-7), with traverse.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider the Restriction Requirement since all groups of claims are sufficiently related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner by maintaining all groups in a single application. MPEP § 803

Non-elected claims 8-22 have been cancelled without prejudice to presenting these claims in one or more divisional applications. New claims 23-32 have been added to provide applicant with a varied scope of protection. New claims 23-32 are method claims and properly belong with elected Invention 1 and should be examined on their merits in the next Office Action along with claims 1-7.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Aug 25, 2008

By: 

G. Gregory Schivley  
Reg. No. 27,382

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

GGG/PFD/evm